

P_{olicy}

I_{ncentives}

for

C_{limate}

C_{hange}

M_{itigation}

A_{gricultural}

T_{echniques}

Addressing the climate challenge What can agriculture do?

Ideas from Europe and beyond

Global Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Potential in Agriculture

Pete Smith

Royal Society-Wolfson Professor of Soils & Global Change
Institute of Biological & Environmental Sciences,
School of Biological Sciences,
University of Aberdeen,
Scotland, UK
E-mail: pete.smith@abdn.ac.uk



P_{olicy}

I_{ncentives}

for

C_{limate}

C_{hange}

M_{itigation}

A_{gricultural}

T_{echniques}

Methods

- Database of over 200 experiments to derive per-area / per-animal mitigation efficiencies for >60 agricultural mitigation options, for four climate zones – for CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O
- Mean estimates and low and high 95% CI values derived from mixed effects modelling
- Applied to appropriate agricultural (crop, grass, livestock) areas / numbers in each climate zone in each region

P
olicy

I
ncentives

for


C
limate

C
hange

M
itigation

A
gricultural

T
echniques



Ogle et al. (2005)

Brussels,
28 October 2008

Addressing the climate challenge – what can agriculture do?
Ideas from Europe and beyond

P
olicy

I
ncentives

for

C
limate

C
hange

M
itigation

A
gricultural

T
echniques

Per-area / per-animal mitigation potential

Climate zone	Activity	Practice	CO ₂ (t CO ₂ ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹)			CH ₄ (t CO ₂ -eq. ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹)			N ₂ O (t CO ₂ -eq. ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹)			All GHG (t CO ₂ -eq. ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹)				
			Mean estimate	Low	High	Mean estimate	Low	High	Mean estimate	Low	High	Mean estimate	Low	High		
Cool-dry	Croplands	agronomy	0.29	0.07	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.20	0.39	0.07	0.71		
		nutrient management	0.26	-0.22	0.73	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.01	0.32	0.33	-0.21	1.05		
		tillage and residue management	0.15	-0.48	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	-0.04	0.09	0.17	-0.52	0.86		
		water management	1.14	-0.55	2.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.14	-0.55	2.82		
		set-aside and LUC	1.61	-0.07	3.30	0.02	0.00	0.00	2.30	0.00	4.60	3.93	-0.07	7.90		
		agro-forestry	0.15	-0.48	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	-0.04	0.09	0.17	-0.52	0.86		
		Grasslands	grazing, fertilization, fire	0.11	-0.55	0.77	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	-0.54	0.79	
			restoration	36.67	3.67	69.67	-3.32	-0.05	-15.30	0.16	0.05	0.28	33.51	3.67	54.65	
		Degraded lands	restoration	3.45	-0.37	7.26	0.08	0.04	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.53	-0.33	7.40	
			Manure / biosol application	1.54	-3.19	6.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.17	1.30	1.54	-3.36	7.57	
		Bioenergy	soils only	0.15	-0.48	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	-0.04	0.09	0.17	-0.52	0.86	
		Cool-moist	Croplands	agronomy	0.88	0.51	1.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.20	0.98	0.51	1.45
				nutrient management	0.55	0.01	1.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.01	0.32	0.62	0.02	1.42
				tillage and residue management	0.51	0.00	1.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	-0.04	0.09	0.53	-0.04	1.12
water management	1.14			-0.55	2.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.14	-0.55	2.82		
set-aside and LUC	3.04			1.17	4.91	0.02	0.00	0.00	2.30	0.00	4.60	5.36	1.17	9.51		
agro-forestry	0.51			0.00	1.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	-0.04	0.09	0.53	-0.04	1.12		
Grasslands	grazing, fertilization, fire			0.81	0.11	1.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.80	0.11	1.50	
	restoration			36.67	3.67	69.67	-3.32	-0.05	-15.30	0.16	0.05	0.28	33.51	3.67	54.65	
Degraded lands	restoration			3.45	-0.37	7.26	1.00	0.69	1.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.45	0.32	8.51	
	Manure / biosol application			2.79	-0.62	6.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.17	1.30	2.79	-0.79	7.50	
Bioenergy	soils only			0.51	0.00	1.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	-0.04	0.09	0.53	-0.04	1.12	
Warm-dry	Croplands			agronomy	0.29	0.07	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.20	0.39	0.07	0.71
				nutrient management	0.26	-0.22	0.73	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.01	0.32	0.33	-0.21	1.05
				tillage and residue management	0.33	-0.73	1.39	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	-0.04	0.09	0.35	-0.77	1.48
		water management	1.14	-0.55	2.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.14	-0.55	2.82		
		set-aside and LUC	1.61	-0.07	3.30	0.02	0.00	0.00	2.30	0.00	4.60	3.93	-0.07	7.90		
		agro-forestry	0.33	-0.73	1.39	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	-0.04	0.09	0.35	-0.77	1.48		
		Grasslands	grazing, fertilization, fire	0.11	-0.55	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	-0.55	0.77	
			restoration	73.33	7.33	139.33	-3.32	-0.05	-15.30	0.16	0.05	0.28	70.18	7.33	124.31	
		Degraded lands	restoration	3.45	-0.37	7.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.45	-0.37	7.26	
			Manure / biosol application	1.54	-3.19	6.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.17	1.30	1.54	-3.36	7.57	
		Bioenergy	soils only	0.33	-0.73	1.39	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	-0.04	0.09	0.35	-0.77	1.48	
		Warm-moist	Croplands	agronomy	0.88	0.51	1.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.20	0.98	0.51	1.45
				nutrient management	0.55	0.01	1.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.01	0.32	0.62	0.02	1.42
				tillage and residue management	0.70	-0.40	1.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	-0.04	0.09	0.72	-0.44	1.89
water management	1.14			-0.55	2.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.14	-0.55	2.82		
set-aside and LUC	3.04			1.17	4.91	0.02	0.00	0.00	2.30	0.00	4.60	5.36	1.17	9.51		
agro-forestry	0.70			-0.40	1.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	-0.04	0.09	0.72	-0.44	1.89		
Grasslands	grazing, fertilization, fire			0.81	0.11	1.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.11	1.50	
	restoration			73.33	7.33	139.33	-3.32	-0.05	-15.30	0.16	0.05	0.28	70.18	7.33	124.31	
Degraded lands	restoration			3.45	-0.37	7.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.45	-0.37	7.26	
	Manure / biosol application			2.79	-0.62	6.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.17	1.30	2.79	-0.79	7.50	
Bioenergy	soils only			0.70	-0.40	1.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	-0.04	0.09	0.72	-0.44	1.89	

For 14 practices, for 4 climate zones, for CO₂, N₂O & CH₄, estimates for mean and +/- 95%CI Smith et al. (2008)

Brussels,

Addressing the climate challenge – what can agriculture do?

4

28 October 2008

Ideas from Europe and beyond

P_{olicy}

I_{ncentives}

for

C_{limate}


C_{hange}

M_{itigation}

A_{gricultural}

T_{echniques}

Soil C sequestration rates for cool dry climate



Data from: Smith et al. (2008)

P_{olicy}

I_{ncentives}

for

C_{limate}


C_{hange}

M_{itigation}

A_{gricultural}

T_{echniques}

Soil C sequestration rates in different climates



Data from: Smith et al. (2008)

P_{olicy}

I_{ncentives}

for

C_{limate}

C_{hange}


M_{itigation}

A_{gricultural}

T_{echniques}

Methods

FAO AEZ Database (e.g. showing land cover)



Brussels,
28 October 2008

Addressing the climate challenge – what can agriculture do?
Ideas from Europe and beyond

P_{olicy}

I_{ncentives}

for

C_{limate}

C_{hange}


M_{itigation}

A_{gricultural}

T_{echniques}

Methods

FAO AEZ Database (e.g. thermal climate)



Brussels,
28 October 2008

Addressing the climate challenge – what can agriculture do?
Ideas from Europe and beyond

P_{olicy}

I_{ncentives}

for

C_{limate}

C_{hange}


M_{itigation}

A_{gricultural}

T_{echniques}

Methods

FAO AEZ Database (e.g. showing production constraints)



Brussels,
28 October 2008

Addressing the climate challenge – what can agriculture do?
Ideas from Europe and beyond

P_{olicy}

I_{ncentives}

for

C_{limate}


C_{hange}

M_{itigation}

A_{gricultural}

T_{echniques}

Global mitigation potential in agriculture



Smith et al. (2008)

P_{olicy}

I_{ncentives}

for

C_{limate}


C_{hange}

M_{itigation}

A_{gricultural}

T_{echniques}

High and low estimates of the mitigation potential in each region



Smith et al. (2008)

P
olicy

I
ncentives

for

C
limate


C
hange

M
itigation

A
gricultural

T
echniques

Effect of C price on implementation



Smith et al. (2008)

P_{olicy}

I_{ncentives}

for

C_{limate}

C_{hange}

M_{itigation}

A_{gricultural}

T_{echniques}

Global mitigation potential in agriculture (Mt CO₂-eq. yr⁻¹)

Price range (USD t CO₂-eq. ⁻¹)

Scenario	Price range (USD t CO ₂ -eq. ⁻¹)			0->>100 (technical potential)
	0-20	0-50	0-100	
B1	1925	2384	3149	5480
A1b	1982	2439	3254	5670
B2	2047	2495	3330	5844
A2	2119	2549	3330	5957

Smith et al. (2008)

P_{olicy}

I_{ncentives}
for

C_{limate}

C_{hange}

M_{itigation}

A_{gricultural}

T_{echniques}

Additional mitigation from agriculture

- **Feed-stocks for bio-energy** (residues, dung and dedicated energy crops).
- The economic mitigation potential for agricultural bio-energy in 2030 is estimated to be 70-1260, 560-2320 and 2720 Mt CO₂-eq. yr⁻¹ at prices up to 20, 50 and above 100 USD t CO₂-eq.⁻¹, respectively (5-90% of all other measures together).
- Additional mitigation of 770 Mt CO₂-eq. yr⁻¹ could be achieved by 2030 by **improved energy efficiency** in agriculture

Smith et al. (2007a)

P_{olicy}

I_{ncentives}

for

C_{limate}


C_{hange}

M_{itigation}

A_{gricultural}

T_{echniques}

Global economic mitigation potential for different sectors at different carbon prices



IPCC WGIII (2007)

P_{olicy}

I_{ncentives}

for

C_{limate}

C_{hange}

M_{itigation}

A_{gricultural}

T_{echniques}

Conclusions

- Agriculture has a significant role to play in climate mitigation
- Agriculture is cost competitive with mitigation options in other sectors
- Bio-energy crops and improved energy efficiency in agriculture can contribute to further climate mitigation, but the savings are usually counted in other sectors
- Agricultural mitigation should be part of a portfolio of mitigation measures to reduce emissions / increase sinks whilst new, low carbon energy technologies are developed.

P_{olicy}

I_{ncentives}

for

C_{limate}

C_{hange}

M_{itigation}

A_{gricultural}

T_{echniques}

PICCMAT

- Assess the potential for agricultural GHG mitigation in Europe
- Assess current extent and future possibilities for GHG mitigation in European agriculture
- Assess best European options for mitigation
- Assess cost-effectiveness and feasibility of agricultural GHG mitigation options in Europe
- Examine the policy context for GHG mitigation in European agriculture, and suggest mechanisms for promoting GHG mitigation

P_{olicy}

I_{ncentives}

for

C_{limate}

C_{hange}

M_{itigation}

A_{gricultural}

T_{echniques}

Thank you for your attention